

Generational Influence on Strategy Perspectives



► Generational influence on strategy

Different generational responses signpost the need to perhaps reassess how we integrate generations in strategic planning.

Introduction

This year's AGN Excellent+ conference brought together both NextGenners and NowGenners, highlighting generational contrasts in strategic thinking. AGN split participants into the two generational groups for a 'Service Evolution' workshop where both groups tackled a fictitious case study (Greenfield & Co), leading to fascinating differences in outcomes and approaches.

Immediate Takeaways for AGN Members

The AGN Excellent+ conference highlighted the distinct ways different generations approach strategy and innovation. Four key takeaways emerged to help firms adapt to changing markets and generational dynamics.

1. Include Multi-Generational Voices in Strategic Planning

- NextGenners have ideas that reflect future client expectations and emerging risks. Firms that fail to include these voices risk becoming irrelevant.

2. Blend Short-Term Gains with Long-Term Investment

- NowGen's focus on profitability is vital—but not at the cost of future growth. Ensure automation and advisory development are adequately resourced.

3. Rethink M&A as the only Growth Lever - While consolidators favour M&A, NextGenners see growth through differentiation and capability-building. Test whether the NextGenners view is fear of the unknown or more evidence of the value they place on culture and continuity.

4. Prioritise People Over Process - The clearest NextGen insight? People matter. If you want to transform services, invest in the skills to deliver them. Upskilling isn't a luxury—it's a core strategy.

TEAM NO/NAME:		
TASK 1 – Select 3 Advisory Services		
Advisory Service	Criteria	Justification
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Size of marketGrowth in marketLow investment requirementLow upskilling requirementSynergy with existing servicesCompetitor differentialRecurring revenue potential	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Size of marketGrowth in marketLow investment requirementLow upskilling requirementSynergy with existing servicesCompetitor differentialRecurring revenue potential	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Size of marketGrowth in marketLow investment requirementLow upskilling requirementSynergy with existing servicesCompetitor differentialRecurring revenue potential	

TEAM NO/NAME:.....			
TASK 2 – Allocate the €5 million budget across these five areas, providing the pros and cons of each.			
Investment Area	Allocated Budget	Pros	Cons

The Greenfield & Co Strategic Challenge (Fictitious Case Study)

Greenfield & Co, a respected UK accountancy firm, faced mounting pressure to modernise as *90% of its revenue depended on traditional compliance services*. Leadership issued a transformation challenge: move towards advisory services, automation, staff development, and new investments.




Greenfield

Peace of mind.

The firm asked two generational cohorts—NextGen and NowGen—to prioritise three advisory services from a longlist, justifying their choices based on factors like market potential, investment needed, and fit with current offerings. Both groups then allocated a £5 million budget across five areas: automation, upskilling/hiring, branding, internal growth/M&A, and other innovations. Each group also crafted a motivational statement to drive transformational change, with responses scored and compared to highlight generational differences.

Task 1: Advisory Service Priorities: Who Chose What?



Advisory Service Priorities — Who Chose What?

The NextGenners have chosen to focus on ESG, Data Analytics, and Cybersecurity—domains that not only showcase technological advancements but also reflect societal and generational priorities. These choices indicate a forward-looking perspective where digital proficiency and social responsibility are prioritised – their choices perhaps reflecting their lived experience and view of future challenges.


NowGenners, meanwhile, leaned into Tax Strategy, Wealth Management, and M&A—service lines grounded in today's market demand, revenue certainty, and compatibility with existing firm capabilities. These selections seem to signal a desire to evolve incrementally from a known base, preserving value while pivoting strategically.

Generational Insight:

Does this divergence in service focus reflect more than a preference? Does it actually reveal a philosophical gap between generations? NextGenners are prioritising relevance, differentiation, and innovation, motivated by longer horizons and the urgent need to stand out. NowGenners are grounding strategy in what's bankable, defendable, and proven. They are looking for commercial wins that preserve legacy and generate return within the tenure of their leadership.

Task 1a: Strategic Reasoning Behind Service Selection

The case challenge required each group to justify their chosen advisory services using seven evaluation criteria:



When asked to justify their service priorities using criteria like market size, ease of implementation, and potential for differentiation, the two generations appear to apply quite different filters.

- **NextGenners** rated criteria like “growth potential,” “competitive edge,” and “future readiness” as paramount—even when those came with high investment requirements or steep learning curves.
- **NowGenners** chose services based on what could be deployed quickly and with minimal disruption—prioritising “synergy with current offerings,” “ease of delivery,” and “staff capability.”


Strategic Mindset Difference:

What can we draw from all this? If nothing else it’s clear there is generational difference in strategic reasoning:

- **NextGenners** are designing for tomorrow’s firm. They seem comfortable with ambiguity, more willing to build new capabilities, and believe long-term advantage justifies short-term discomfort.
- **NowGenners** appear intent on protecting today’s firm, their choices aiming to extend value from existing competencies and relationships, shaping strategy around the efficient use of today’s resources.

Key Example:

NextGen’s choice of Cybersecurity—despite its low synergy and high skills demand—shows an instinct to disrupt and differentiate. NowGen’s selection of Tax Strategy, a trusted revenue generator, reveals a focus on familiar disciplines and scalability.



Task 2: Investment Allocation: How to Spend £5 Million?

The Greenfield case study asserts that to successfully navigate this transformation, the teams must allocate resources strategically, and over the next five years, there is £5 million available to invest across the following areas:

- **Automation of Processes** – Implementing AI-driven tools, cloud-based accounting platforms, and automated audit solutions.
- **Staff Upskilling & Hiring** – Training existing staff in new technologies and hiring specialists in data analytics, ESG, and digital transformation.
- **Branding and Market Positioning** – Elevating Greenfield & Co's reputation as a forward-thinking, advisory-led firm.
- **Internal Development or M&A Strategies** – Investing in new service lines internally or acquiring niche firms with expertise in high-growth areas.
- **Other Innovative Initiatives** – Exploring new business models, such as subscription-based advisory services or partnerships with fintech firms.

As with the other areas of the challenge, the different generations took a contrasting approach to how they would invest the available funds.

- **NextGenners** directed the bulk of the investment toward people: upskilling, hiring, and innovation. It could be said that their approach reflects a strong belief in internal capability development and long-term cultural transformation.
- **NowGenners**, conversely, favoured external levers—most notably allocating significant sums to M&A and brand repositioning. Their approach emphasised structural change, strategic control, and the amplification of existing assets.

This contrast illustrates two quite different approaches to organisational change management and transformation:

- **NextGenners** see transformation as organic and iterative—driven by people, culture, and knowledge capital.
- **NowGenners** see it as structural and capital-intensive—driven by strategic transactions and market optics.

It's unlikely that one generation fears change more than the other, but it could be that they conceptualise change differently.

Allocation of Budget



Key Example:

NextGen's ask "Who do we need to become?" While NowGen ask "what do we need to acquire?". Both are legitimate and necessary perspectives, but without dialogue they can create disconnects in transformation strategy, budget priorities and even succession planning. There seems to be a recurring theme in the NextGenners response which points to a more inward and empathetic perspective, versus the NowGenners.

Summary of Differences – A Generational Matrix

Strategic Dimension	NextGenners	NowGenners
Service Preference	Disruptive, tech-led, ESG-aligned	Proven, adjacent, monetisable
Investment Focus	People and innovation	Structural change and acquisition
Risk Appetite	Medium–high	Medium
Cultural Bias	Purpose-first	Performance-first
Time Horizon	5–10 years	1–5 years

These differences reveal more than tactical divergence—they reflect different ways of thinking about what strategy is. The results of this exercise at least signal that for many NextGenners, strategy is about relevance and reinvention, and for NowGenners, it's more about risk, revenue, and rationalisation.

Reflections & Recommendations

1. Include Multi-Generational Voices in Strategic Planning

NextGenners have ideas that reflect future client expectations and emerging risks. Firms that fail to include these voices risk ignoring a highly valuable resource.

Why this Matters: The generational lens reveals both strategic blind spots and hidden opportunities. Gen Z and younger Millennials—AGN's NextGen—are digital natives and ethically conscious professionals who value purpose, technology, and rapid feedback. Their perspectives are deeply shaped by global crises, environmental urgency, and a hyper-connected digital economy.

AGN Perspective: The AGN GBV 'ESG Part II' report highlights how Gen Y and Z employees are more attuned to ESG values, and how meaningful work is a crucial retention factor. AGN's Women of Impact and NextGen programs offer platforms for these voices.

Recommendation: If your firm's five-year plan was co-authored by your most visionary 28-year-old, what would be different? Incorporate structured multi-generational workshops into strategy cycles—such as co-authoring vision statements or road-testing advisory services with NextGen panels.

2. Blend Short-Term Gains with Long-Term Investment

NowGen's focus on profitability is vital—but not at the cost of future growth. Ensure automation and advisory development are adequately resourced.

Why this Matters: The tension here is generational—but also philosophical. Many NowGenners (typically equity-holding partners) rightly prioritise EBITDA, but are they at risk of underinvesting in digital tools, advisory services or L&D for fear of denting margins?

AGN Perspective: The AGN Digital Maturity Diagnostic shows 32% of firms feel far behind competitors (technologically); yet most underutilise AI and automation, particularly in audit and advisory transformation.

The AGN Advisory Migration Methodology stresses that long-term firm value increasingly depends on developing consulting skills, understanding emerging sectors, and delivering data-driven advisory—not just compliance.

Recommendation: Caution: don't maximise today's margin at the expense of tomorrow's relevance?

Adopt a "dual horizon" investment approach—tactically improve margins through efficiency (e.g., outsourcing, Robotic Process Automation, AI), while ringfencing a strategic growth budget for digital tools, service development, and client experience.

3. Rethink M&A as the Primary Growth Lever

While NowGenners favour M&A, NextGenners see growth through differentiation and capability-building. These ideas aren't mutually exclusive—but they need sequencing and integration.

Why this Matters: We've seen that M&A is the primary tactic amongst industry 'consolidators' to create scale, market share, and short-term value—especially with PE funding. But NextGenners point instead to culture, ESG alignment, and digital service differentiation as the real growth drivers.

AGN Perspective: The GBV on Business Value details two distinct strategic archetypes: "scale and exit" vs. "legacy and excellence". Many AGN firms fall somewhere between the two and generational conflict emerges when one side dominates. M&A can be culturally disruptive; it risks alienating younger talent if capability-building, upskilling, and tech integration lag behind the deal.

Recommendation: What if your growth strategy was defined by your most differentiated services, not just your footprint? Integrate growth sequencing models into strategic plans—use M&A to acquire new capabilities, then follow with deep service innovation, staff enablement, and client co-creation processes.

4. Prioritise People Over Process

The clearest NextGen insight? People matter. If you want to transform services, invest in the skills to deliver them. Upskilling isn't a luxury—it's a core strategy.

Why this Matters: NextGenners see people strategy as strategy—not just HR policy. Other research indicates they value upskilling, meaningful work, psychological safety, and purpose-alignment more than previous generations. This is not just a recruitment issue; it's a retention, innovation and brand issue.

AGN Perspective: The GBV on Employer Brand (Q Concepts case) is a blueprint for this thinking: flat hierarchies, self-directed teams, coaching cultures, and personalised L&D.

Recommendation: If skills and engagement become the primary competitive advantage in 2030, are you ready? Turn your L&D agenda into a firmwide transformation tool. Deploy NextGen-led training (as seen in Road to Rome challenges, AGN Next Leaders series, AGN AI Masterclass and Excellent+, introduce AI/automation training tracks, and link career development to the firm's strategic advisory roadmap.

Conclusion

The Greenfield & Co case study offered a structured way to explore how two generations within our firms view strategic transformation. The results underscore a central truth: your firm's future will be shaped not just by what you invest in but by who gets to make those decisions.

Are you hearing the voices of your future leaders?

NowGenners, seasoned partners and leaders, were invited to Excellent+ in May 2025 because the timing of 2025 World Congress clashed with the traditional timing of the EMEA Regional Conference. Excellent+ was thought to be a great extra opportunity for the core regular AGN contact partners to learn, connect with associates and do business.



Useful AGN Reference Materials:

[The Future of Accounting – Insights from AGN NextGenners](#)
[Managing Generational Friction](#)

Contact:

For further information on this topic or anything relating to the AGN International association of accounting and advisory firms, or to become an AGN member, please email your closest AGN Regional Director (see below) or go direct to www.agn.org.

Malcolm Ward
CEO AGN International
mward@agn.org

Jean Xu
AP Regional Manager
jxu@agn.org

Marlijn Lawson
EMEA Regional Director
mlawson@agn.org

Cindy Frey CPA, CGMA
Americas Regional Director
cfrey@agn.org

excellent.
connected.
individual.



For further information, or become involved, please contact:

AGN International

Email: info@agn.org | Office: +44 (0)20 7971 7373 | Web: www.agn.org

AGN International Ltd is a company limited by guarantee registered in England & Wales, number 3132548, registered office: 3 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2RE United Kingdom. AGN International Ltd (and its regional affiliates; together "AGN") is a not-for-profit worldwide membership association of separate and independent accounting and advisory businesses. AGN does not provide services to the clients of its members, which are provided by Members alone. AGN and its Members are not in partnership together, they are neither agents of nor obligate one another, and they are not responsible or liable for each other's services, actions or inactions.

Copyright © 2025 AGN International Ltd.